Παρθένον 'Αλκίππην ἐφίλουν μέγα, καί ποτε πείσας αὐτὴν λαθριδίως εἶχον ἐπὶ κλισίῃ· ἀμφοτέρων δὲ στέρνον ἐπάλλετο, μή τις ἐπέλθῃ, μή τις ἴδῃ τὰ πόθων κρυπτὰ περισσότερων. μητέρα δ' οὐκ ἕλαθεν †κείνης λάλον†, ἀλλ' ἐσιδοῦσα 5 ἐξαπίνης Ἐρμῆς κοινός' ἔφη 'θύγατερ'.

Things seem to have proceeded fairly far when Alkippe's mother interrupts. This is particularly clear if we accept HUSCHKE's  $\kappa\lambda$ iv $\eta$   $\lambda\alpha\lambda$ o $\zeta$  or JACOB's  $\kappa\lambda$ iv $\eta\zeta$   $\sigma\alpha\lambda$ o $\zeta$  for the corrupt  $\kappa\epsilon$ iv $\eta\zeta$  $\lambda\alpha\lambda$ ov in 5. Even if these are set aside as uncertain, the imagery in lines 3-4 is suggestive of more than just fond caresses. The first five lines set the background for the climactic phrase 'Epµ $\eta$  $\zeta$  κοινό $\zeta$ , and I would like to suggest that there is a pun lurking in the phrase.

The word  $\exists \rho \mu \tilde{\eta} \zeta$  has several different meanings, besides the god himself: at least two are pertinent here. As the commentators all mention, a  $\exists \rho \mu \tilde{\eta} \zeta$  is a lucky find, another name for a  $\sharp \rho \mu \alpha \omega v$ , at least when used in this phrase (LSJ s.v.  $\exists \rho \mu \tilde{\eta} \zeta$ , II.2).<sup>2</sup> But a  $\exists \rho \mu \tilde{\eta} \zeta$  is also a distinctively male statue which is both naked and ithyphallic (LSJ s.v.  $\exists \rho \mu \tilde{\eta} \zeta$ , I.2): the narrator is surely both at this point in his narrative. In the tradition of epigrammatic 'Vetula-Skoptik', that is what makes him a lucky find: in English terms, he is both *hermaion* and *herm*.<sup>3</sup>

Gow and Page provide separate lists of Argentarius' punning and coarse epigrams:<sup>4</sup> XII should be added to both.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> My text is taken from GOW and PAGE, *The Garland of Philip* (Cambridge, 2 vols., 1968), omitting the variants, since they have no bearing on the questions discussed here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Oddly, Gow and PAGE refer this to LSJ s.v.  $E\rho\mu\eta\zeta$ , II.4. This is probably a simple error, but may suggest that they saw the relevance of the quotation from Plutarch in my next note but have garbled their own note.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A third meaning of Ἐρμῆς may conceivably be pertinent: that is the one used in the phrase Ἐρμῆς ἐπεισελήλυθε, "a saying used when conversation suddenly ceased" (LSJ s.v. Ἐρμῆς, II.4). Though not apparently attested before Plutarch (*de Garrulitate* 502 f), it could well be implied here, if we accept HUSCHKE's κλίνη λάλος, and assume, plausibly enough, that the `chattering' of the bed ceases as soon as Alkippe's mother enters. On the other hand, this pun would conflict with the other two, since it makes Alkippe's mother rather than the poet a Ἐρμῆς: we would have to assume that Argentarius has gone berserk with his puns, and mixed them in incompatible ways. So perhaps the third Ἐρμῆς is a red herring.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Both lists volume 2, p. 166.